

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/036,622	RASHID ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael J. Moore, Jr.	2616	

All Participants:

(1) Michael J. Moore, Jr.

(2) Kent J. Sieffert (Reg. No. 41,312).

Status of Application: Condition for Allowance

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 October 2006

Time: 10:00am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

An obviousness-type double patenting issue between the claims of record in this application and U.S. Patent No. 7,082,139 was brought to Mr. Sieffert's attention. Mr. Sieffert agreed to file a Terminal Disclaimer to obviate this issue.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)